

Maritime safety and security & Post 2020 – Knowledge of the seas network meeting

21-22 November 2017 Porto, Portugal

Report

Author Philipp Schwartz, Interact

Summary

The Knowledge of the seas network met for its already fourth thematic network meeting. After dealing with Blue Growth (2015), Coastal and maritime tourism (2015) and Blue skills (2016), this year's topic was Maritime safety and security. This thematic focus was complemented by a discussion on the added value of maritime cooperation now and in the future – with the aim to formulate the Knowledge of the seas network's input to the ongoing post-2020 discussion. Combining these two aspects, the network actually lived up to its aims and objectives: to jointly RECEIVE knowledge of the seas and to jointly PRODUCE and PROMOTE knowledge of the seas, the added value of maritime cooperation.

Maritime safety and security (Day 1)

The meeting approached maritime safety and security from four different perspectives. Firstly, from the European and macro-regional/sea basin (=strategic) perspective. Secondly, from the thematic experts' and stakeholders' perspective. Thirdly, from the perspective of Interreg programmes supporting cooperation in the field of maritime safety and security. Fourthly from the perspective of concrete cooperation projects in the field of maritime safety and security supported by Interreg and other funding sources. This four-perspectivesapproach was also reflected in the composition of participants. Interreg programmes with a maritime character or component and project representatives met with the strategic/policy level and relevant maritime safety and security actors and experts.

Bringing together representatives from these four levels was very much appreciated by the participants. But it also showed that there is a need for more such meetings going outside the 'Interreg box'. It became clear that a regional strategic framework for cooperation (e.g. a macro-regional or sea basin strategy) can help linking these four levels in joining forces and





creating synergies. This way both challenges and development opportunities going beyond the capacity of a single actor or programme can be tackled. A real regional approach of course also needs to find an answer on how to involve all concerned littoral countries, independent if they are EU members or not. In this context very important, as said above, is also the need for more such meetings bringing together the different levels – or, to put it differently, the need to better and more widely spread the results and awareness of Interreg cooperation outside the Interreg world. This would help putting cooperation supported by Interreg into the bigger picture (capitalisation and mainstreaming of ETC results).

From the thematic point of view, this one-day network meeting provided a first insight into some aspects of maritime safety and security. It can hence be seen only as an 'appetizer' not covering each and every aspect. When talking about the topic of maritime safety and security as a kind of 'umbrella', it might not be seen as very relevant. However, if it is understood as something everyone is affected by, hence responsible for, if the focus is rather on the different elements of maritime safety and security like 'response', 'resilience' or 'migration', then it becomes a very relevant topic. And as maritime safety and security is something affecting everyone, successful cooperation in the field of maritime safety and security is a good way to show the added value of cooperation, to show that Interreg actually can make a difference. Sadly enough, the interest in maritime safety and security always gets a boost once some major disaster happens (e.g. MS Estonia in 1994 or Costa Concordia in 2012).

The added value of maritime cooperation now and in the future (Day 2)

Different from the formulation in the agenda, this part of the discussion was not so much about maritime cooperation post-2020. It was rather about the added value of maritime cooperation. The aim is not to lobby for maritime cooperation in the meaning of defending one's own "territory". But to proof the added value of maritime cooperation and how it complements other ways of cooperation – at the end to achieve common objectives taking into account that many challenges cannot be clearly separated between sea and land.

The meeting started with the main conclusions from the informal exchange with the operational level (Managing Authority and Joint Secretariat representatives) of 11 maritime Interreg programmes¹ in August/September 2017. This presentation was complemented with reflections from two external actors (CPMR and Interreg Europe PASSAGE project) after which the Knowledge of the seas network intensively discussed and complemented on the three main questions: 1. *Why maritime cooperation? Do we need maritime cooperation* (programmes) post 2020? What can be achieved only by combining (the framework of)

¹ All cross-border, transnational and interregional Interreg programmes belonging to the Knowledge of the seas network had been invited through the network's online communication platform. Representatives of 11 programmes from all four European sea basins (Atlantic, Baltic, Mediterranean, North Sea) made use of the opportunity to share in an informal way their thoughts and ideas about the added value of maritime cooperation now and in the future.

Interreg with maritime cooperation?; 2. What is the unique selling point of maritime cooperation programmes and projects?; 3. What would make maritime cooperation more effective and easier in the future? Based on the discussion at the network meeting, the draft input paper will be revised and after a last consultation round with the whole network circulated to the relevant actors and stakeholders.

In 2018, the Knowledge of the seas network will look in addition at obstacles, challenges and potentials for maritime cooperation identified during the informal exchange with the programmes (and in light of the EC Final Report "Collecting solid evidence to assess the needs to be addressed by Interreg cross-border cooperation programmes"²). The aim is to identify concrete implemented Interreg projects, which can be seen as an answer to these obstacles, challenges and potential. This exercise could potentially also serve as basis for a joint workshop of the Knowledge of the seas network – respectively a joint exhibition stand – at the upcoming European Maritime Day 2018 in Burgas, Bulgaria (if approved).



Relevant references as well as all presentations can be found at the end of this meeting report.

Participants visiting the Leixões Cruise Terminal and getting a presentation of the project 'Cruise Atlantic Europe' co-financed by the Interreg Atlantic Area Programme (2007-2013) (Picture: Mercedes Acitores, Interact)

² <u>http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/information/publications/studies/2016/collecting-solid-evidence-to-assess-the-needs-to-be-addressed-by-interreg-cross-border-cooperation-programmes</u>

Agenda

| - | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Day one | Maritime Safety and Security | | | |
| 09.00 - 09.30 | Registration and Welcome Coffee / Tea | | | |
| 09.30 – 10.00 | Welcome, introduction and framework <i>Philipp Schwartz and Mercedes Acitores, Interact</i> Short introduction and expectations of the participants Knowledge of the seas network activities since the previous thematic meeting (Blue Skills, November 2016, Rostock) | | | |
| 10.00 – 10.30 | Maritime Safety and Security – The European and macro- regional/sea basin strategy perspective Maritime safety and security – The different sea basin approaches Dora Barreira Ramos, European Commission, DG Mare | | | |
| 10.30 – 11.00 | Coffee break | | | |
| 11.00 – 12.30 | Maritime Safety and Security – The stakeholders' and experts' perspective HELCOM Response Group Alexander von Buxhoeveden, Swedish Coast Guard / HELCOM Response Group Secretariat General for the Sea (France) Ronan Chastanet, Coast guard function operational center – NCC EUROSUR European Coast Guard Functions Forum (ECGFF) Birgit Thärichen, Bundespolizeidirektion Bad Bramstedt, Direktionsbereich Bundespolizei See, Projektbüro ECGFF, Germany | | | |
| 12.30 – 13.30 | Lunch break | | | |
| 13.30 – 15.00 | Maritime Safety and Security – The programme perspective Atlantic Area Programme Morgane Lesage, Joint Secretariat Atlantic Action Plan, Priority 2: Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment – Specific objective "Improving maritime safety and security" Jorge Graca, Atlantic Action Plan, Support Team, National Unit Portugal Interreg Italy – France 'Maritime' Programme Maria Dina Tozzi, Managing Authority | | | |

15.00 – 15.15 Coffee break

| 15.15 – 16.15 | Maritime Safety and Security – The project perspective SEAHORSE Project – Safety Enhancements in transport by Achieving Human Oriented Resilient Shipping Environment Osman Turan, Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean & Marine Engineering, University of Strathclyde (Glasgow) MIRG Maritime Incident Response Groups Nicolas Leclet, Pas-de-Calais Fire and Rescue Services | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 16.15 – 16.30 | Summary and conclusions from Day 1 Philipp Schwartz and Mercedes Acitores, Interact | | | |
| 16.30 | Guided visit to Leix ő es Cruise Terminal & Networking Dinner For details, please see 'Practicalities' NB! We are leaving directly after the meeting. | | | |
| Day two | Maritime cooperation across borders post 2020 | | | |
| 09.00 – 09.30 | Welcome & Interact's activities with regard to post 2020 <i>Philipp Schwartz, Interact</i> Update on Interreg post 2020 activities to date Next steps and plans for 2018 | | | |
| | Objectives of the session: to provide the participants with an overview on the post 2020 related activities by Interact. | | | |
| 09.30 – 12.00 (incl. coffee break) | Maritime cooperation across borders post 2020 Presentation of main findings from informal exchange with programmes during autumn 2017 Reflections and findings from other processes/actors <i>Lucas Bosser, Conference of Peripheral Maritime Regions (CPMR) Colette Marie, Passage Project/Département du Pas-de-Calais</i> Discussion of the main findings and identification of 3 key messages from the Knowledge of the seas network (group work) Discussion if and how these findings and key messages can be fed into the post 2020 discussion process (group work) | | | |
| | Objectives of the session: Discussion of the main findings from the informal exchange with Interreg programmes during autumn and how the key messages could be fed into the post 2020 discussions. | | | |
| 12.00 – 12.30 | Summary and next steps regarding the post 2020 process as well as future 'Knowledge of the seas' network activities Philipp Schwartz and Mercedes Acitores, Interact | | | |
| 12.30 – 13.30 | Farewell lunch | | | |

Participant List

| Last Name | First Name | Organisation | Email address |
|-----------------|------------|--|--|
| Acitores | Mercedes | Interact | mercedes.acitores@interact- eu.net |
| Barreira Ramos | Dora | European Commission | dora.barreira- ramos@ec.europa.eu |
| Bosser | Lucas | Conference of Peripheral Maritime Regions | lucas.bosser@crpm.org |
| von Buxhoeveden | Alexander | Swedish Coast Guard | alexander.von.buxhoeveden@co astguard.se |
| Cakuls | Sandis | Interreg Latvia-Lithuania | sandis.cakuls@varam.gov.lv |
| Chastanet | Ronan | Secrétariat général de la mer (France) | ronan.chastanet@cofgc.gouv.fr |
| Cristo | Euridice | University of Algarve - CRIA | emcristo@ualg.pt |
| Garea Lodeiro | Carlos | Interreg Atlantic Area | carlos.garea@atlanticarea.eu |
| Graca | Jorge | Support Team - Atlantic Action Plan | nationalunitportugal@atlanticstra tegy.eu |
| Guimaraes | Carla | Interreg Atlantic Area | carla.guimaraes@atlanticarea.eu |
| llves | Päivi | ENI South-East Finland Russia | paivi.ilves@ekarjala.fi |
| Korczak | Michal | Interreg South Baltic | michal.korczak@mr.gov.pl |
| Kravale | Iruma | Interreg Latvia-Lithuania | iruma.kravale@varam.gov.lv |
| Leclet | Nicolas | Service Departemental d'Incendie et de Secours du Pas de Calais | nleclet@sdis62.fr |
| Lesage | Morgane | Interreg Atlantic Area | morgane.lesage@atlanticarea.eu |
| Lundberg | Peter | Interreg Öresund-Kattegat- Skagerrak | peter@interreg-oks.eu |
| Marie | Colette | Conseil départemental du Pas-de- Calais | marie.colette@pasdecalais.fr |
| Mikulić | lvona | Agency for Regional Development of the Republic of Croatia | ivona.mikulic@arr.hr |
| Pasalidou | Symela | Interreg Balkan-Mediterranean | spasalidou@mou.gr |

| Sabanas | Antanas | Interreg South Baltic | antanas.sabanas@gmail.com |
|-----------|------------|---|--|
| Schwartz | Philipp | Interact | philipp.schwartz@interact-eu.net |
| Thärichen | Birgit | German Federal Police Maritime Department Project Office ECGFF | ecgff-germany@polizei.bund.de |
| Tozzi | Maria Dina | Interreg Italy-France 'Maritime' | mariadina.tozzi@regione.toscana .it |
| Turan | Osman | University of Strathclyde | o.turan@strath.ac.uk |
| Vlahović | Tanja | Agency for Regional Development of the Republic of Croatia | tanja.vlahovic@arr.hr |
| Volt | Ivo | Interreg Central Baltic | ivo.volt@centralbaltic.eu |

Literature and relevant links

Below you can find references to relevant literature and links related to the presentations and topics of maritime safety and security as well as maritime cooperation post 2020.

Maritime safety and security:

- Maritime Security Strategy (EC DG Mare): <u>https://ec.europa.eu/maritimeaffairs/policy/maritime-security_en</u>
- **Migration network** (Interact): <u>http://www.interact-</u> eu.net/contact?field_fields_of_expertise_tid=All&field_networks_tid=75
- Sustainable blue economy call (EASME): <u>https://ec.europa.eu/easme/en/information-day-blue-growth-calls-under-emff</u>
- Towards an initiative for the sustainable development of the blue economy in the western Mediterranean – Goals and priorities (Ecorys, June 2017): <u>http://www.westmed-initiative.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/westmed-report5en-def-compressed.pdf</u>

Maritime cooperation post 2020:

- Collecting solid evidence to assess the needs to be addressed by Interreg crossborder cooperation programmes (EC, 2015CE160AT044): http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/information/publications/studies/2016/coll_ecting-solid-evidence-to-assess-the-needs-to-be-addressed-by-interreg-cross-border-cooperation-programmes
- Elements relating to the preparation of maritime policies post-2020 (CPMR Technical Paper June 2017): <u>http://cpmr.org/wpdm-package/elements-relating-to-the-preparation-of-maritime-policies-post-2020/</u>

Other links:

- Baltic Funding Portal (Interact, Swedish Institute): <u>http://www.balticsea-region.eu/about/funding-sources</u>
- keep.eu project database (i.e. Coastal management and maritime issues): <u>https://www.keep.eu/keep/search/link/VAoavXASOO</u>
- Leixőes Cruise Terminal: <u>http://www.apdl.pt/en/terminal-passageiros-sul</u>
- Cruise Atlantic Europe (project presented at Leixőes Cruise Terminal): <u>https://www.keep.eu/keep/project-ext/683/Cruise%20Atlantic%20Europe</u>



Knowledge of the seas network meeting

Maritime Safety and Security - Post 2020 21-22 November 2017 | Porto, Portugal

Philipp Schwartz, Interact





European Regional Development Fund





maritime stakeholders









thematic experts



The Knowledge of the seas network

| Learning & promoting | Four perspectives |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Learning | European & macroregional/sea basin |
| | Thematic stakeholders & experts |
| Dromoting | Programmes |
| Promoting | Projects |



The network in moving pictures

Interact's owledge of the seas network

http://www.interact-eu.net/library#o=library/video-interact-capitalisation-network-knowledge-seas



Participants

Participants

- 2 EU/MRS/SBS representatives
- 6 Experts/Stakeholders
- 8 Programmes with 14 persons (Atlantic, Baltic, Mediterranean)
- 3 Projects with 4 persons

Short introduction round





Participants' expectations

Day 1 (Maritime Safety & Security)

Good project examples

Interreg programmes's support to maritime safety & security

Concrete topics

- Marine pollution (prevention), oil spills
- Rescue services, response to massive incident at sea, volunteer organisations
- Cooperation between ports
- Standards of MSS, different national legislations, common action plan with regard to competences at national and local level

Day 2 (Post 2020)

Key issues/questions for discussion on maritime cooperation across borders post 2020:

- Maritime issues and borders
- Cooperation (projects)
- Concrete topics



Structure of the meeting – Day 1

Maritime safety and security from four perspectives:

- 1. European and macro-regional/sea basin strategy perspective (European Commission, DG MARE)
- 2. Thematic stakeholders' and experts' perspective (HELCOM Response Group, Secretariat General for the Sea, European Coast Guard Functions Forum)
- **3. Programme perspective** (Atlantic Area, Atlantic Action Plan, Italy-France 'Maritime')
- 4. Project perspective (SEAHORSE, MIRG)







Structure of the meeting – Day 2

A. Maritime cooperation post 2020:

- 1. Interact's activities with regard to post 2020
- 2. Findings from interviews with 11 maritime Interreg programmes
- 3. Reflections from other processes/actors (CPMR/Interreg Europe PASSAGE project)
- 4. Discussion of main findings and if and how to use them

B. Activities of the Knowledge of the seas network in 2018





Maritime Safety and Security

Day 1 – Thematic focus and exchange





European and macro-regional/sea basin strategy perspective



Thematic Meeting of the Knowlegdge of the Seas Network

Maritime safety and security

Presentation by Dora Barreira Ramos, DG MARE, European Commission

Tuesday, 21 November, 2017 Porto, Portugal

Speaking Points

- First of all, I would like to thank The Knowledge of the Seas Network for the kind invitation to be here with you today. I will start by presenting an overview of the European Commission work on maritime security and will then provide you with a summary of the work developed at sea basin level.
- As you know, we depend on secure seas and oceans for maintaining and developing a sustainable blue economy. 90% of international trade is maritime. More than 70% of the external borders of the European Union are maritime. If maritime security is essential for Europe, it has potentially at this point in time an even more profound meaning in regions like the Mediterranean which has important challenges to face.
- The <u>European Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS) and its related Action Plan</u>, adopted in 2014, was conceived precisely at the time of the escalating migration crisis in the Mediterranean, under the Greek and Italian presidencies of the Council of the European Union.
- The main purpose of this strategy is to increase cross border and cross sector co-operation and to create synergies between existing control activities.
- The legally established <u>cooperation between three specialized EU agencies</u>, the European <u>Border and Coast Guard agency (FRONTEX)</u>, the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) <u>and the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA)</u> regarding <u>coast guard functions</u> is one of the best examples of the progress achieved in this regard at EU level. The enhanced synergy between these agencies will enable them to support the activities of more than 300 civilian and military coastguard authorities in the Member States responsible for carrying out coastguard functions.
- This joint cooperation is already bringing concrete results in a wide range of areas such as maritime safety, security, search and rescue, border control, fisheries control, customs control, general law enforcement and environmental protection. In particular, concrete results include enhanced information exchange between agencies, but also the provision of a multipurpose drone capacity to EU agencies and Member States, as well as co-ordination and sharing of inspection and surveillance platforms during joint operational activities. Only last year, this cooperation led to an increase of more than 1000 sightings of potential Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) fishing in the Mediterranean Sea.

- The European Maritime Security Strategy also attaches particular importance to the need for civil and military cooperation. EU Member States have been particularly active in this regard, with the promotion of joint exercises between navies and coast guard entities. At EU level, progress is visible for instances in terms of increased cooperation with <u>NATO</u> on a variety of topics such as cyber-security and the use of RPAS in the maritime domain.
- We also see the added value of civil military cooperation in terms of maritime security research, in particular in the areas of dual use technologies and capabilities. In this regard, we are cooperating with the European Defence Agency for the development of a joint crosssectoral agenda for maritime security research. We have just recently (27 Sep 2017) held the second edition of a Workshop for the development of a Civil-Military Research Agenda for Maritime Security. This workshop was jointly organised by the EDA, EEAS and the Commission and the research agenda which resulted from the discussions is set to provide guidance to future research efforts and projects conception. It should be made available in the coming days.
- Promoting maritime multilateralism is a strategic priority for the EU, in line with the EU Global Strategy. Secured and protected seas and oceans can only be achieved through collaborative efforts. This is why the EU has decided to include maritime security for the first time ever in this year's (4th) edition of the <u>Our Ocean Conference</u>, which recently took place in Malta (5/6 October). Following the announcement in the Communication on International Ocean Governance, the European Commission is also working towards developing 'ocean partnerships' with other nations. These partnerships translate into strengthened cooperation in key governance areas, such as the implementation of ocean-relevant SDGs, promoting conservation and sustainable 'blue growth', maritime research, international fisheries management and, also, maritime security. A partnership agreement with China is now being negotiated and other should follow soon.
- Now that I have provided you with an overview of the work on safety and security we are developing at European level, let me give you a glimpse over our regional strategies approaches. It is indeed important to note that different maritime regions face sometimes different (maritime) security risks and threats. Thus, in our work, we also promote specific regional approaches. Take the Mediterranean Sea as an example. The recent <u>Initiative for the sustainable development of the blue economy in the Western Mediterranean (WestMED)</u> has included a dedicated strand on safety and security. This sub-regional maritime initiative brings together in a joint effort the 10 countries of the region and the Union for the Mediterranean. Naturally, a key objective of this framework is to promote further cooperation towards a safer and more secure maritime space. This includes in particular the aim to foster deeper cooperation between coast guards of these countries to respond to marine pollution, to increase maritime data sharing and to work together towards the reinforcement of border surveillance. The West Med initiative is also one of the recent policy developments informing the recently launched sustainable blue economy calls.
- The EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian also addresses the governance and surveillance of the Adriatic and Ionian Seas. Following the Ioannina Declaration of May 2017, participating countries have agreed to "enhance cooperation and share experience on various issues related

to maritime safety, in order to reduce risks of maritime accidents, marine marine pollution from ships and the loss of human life at sea in the shared sea basin".

- In particular, this enhanced mandate of the Adriatic Ionian Strategy aims at increasing safety and security at sea by for instances monitoring maritime traffic through a single shared system (e.g. by upgrading ADRIREP).
- But when we are talking about regional approaches to maritime safety and security, the European Union Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region is paradigmatic.
- In a recent gathering of the Policy Area SAFE of the Baltic strategy, regional coordinators concluded that there is a sector that is needing further engagement in terms of project development, namely the training and education of seafarers. Deeply related, a thematic area which should be a priority for future work is autonomy and digitalisation in shipping. I couldn't agree more, these are indeed areas where we need to invest for the future of maritime safety and security.
- There are other sea basins which do not have a regional strategy per se, as for instances the North Sea, or the case of the Atlantic where we have an Action Plan which does not specifically focus *per se* on maritime security. These sea basins are nonetheless object of regional cooperation by countries in the area and are part of the overall effort at EU level on maritime security. This is the case for instances of initiatives of cooperation under the North Atlantic Coast Guard Forum (NACGF), or even of a cooperation agreement signed between the UK and France to enable information exchange through regular contacts between their maritime information centres. So we do see a number of initiatives also at these sea basins.
- There are also cases of specific challenges common to some sea basins but not to all. This is
 the case of sea dumped munitions. This is a serious issue in the Baltic Sea region, for instance,
 but also in the North Sea and the Adriatic and Ionian Sea. There are several projects developed
 in this regard, as CHEMSEA. In this regard, the Commission and the EEAS intend to organize a
 workshop in early next year precisely to encourage a common approach on ways to address
 sea dumped munitions and hazard substances from a security perspective.
- To take regional particularities into attention is something that enriches our European approach to maritime security. We are now starting a process of revision of the EUMSS Action Plan, which was designed to be a living document, to have it reflecting current challenges and priorities of the Union on maritime security. Ensuring that an appropriate regional perspective is well reflected in this revised version of a new Strategy for Maritime Security is, thus, a priority for us.
- Finally, I would like to conclude by saying that maritime security in Europe is only real to the extent that players in the field actually promote and even supplant the policy envisaged by policy makers. Cooperation projects are building stones of any strategy and I would like to thank all of you that contribute, through your projects and through your cooperation, to a safer and more secure Union.



Thematic stakeholders' and expert's perspective



Maritime safety and security Helcoms perspective

Alexander von Buxhoeveden

Helsinki Convention (1974) 1992

 "shall individually or jointly take all appropriate legislative, administrative or other relevant measures to prevent and eliminate pollution in order to promote ecological restoration of the Baltic Sea area and the preservation of its ecological balance." (Article 3, Fundamental principles and obligations)"

HELCOM www.helcom.fi

Members:

- -Denmark
- –Estonia
- -European Union
- -Finland
- -Germany
- -Poland
- –Latvia
- -Russia
- -Sweden





HELCOM www.helcom.fi

Priority issues of concern

- -eutrophication
- -pollution by hazardous
- substances
- -maritime activities
- –loss of biological diversity, destruction of habitats





HELCOM was (is?) also a peace project



HELCOM RESPONSE work

- HELCOM Response Manual (Vol. I, II & new III)
 - Baltic procedures for international response operations at sea and on the shore
- Exercises (e.g. HELCOM BALEX DELTA 1990-)
 Annual practical test of regional response
- Joint surveillance (e.g. HELČOM CEPCOs)
- Dialogue and Recommendations
 - Exchange of experiences & regional needs
 - Publications like Annual reports of ill. oil spills (1989-) and accidents (2000-) in the Baltic

Ongoing

- Co-operation with other regions
- Work plan Helcom response

Helcom needs

- HNS-manual
- Tools and methodology risk assessment
- Low sulphur oils
- Response at night
- Hazardous waste sites



Difficulties

- 1 out of 5 projects are approved
- Information of calls in one place
- Timing
- Secretariate can not be lead partner



11 coast guard functions



ECGFF – FRONTEX/EMSA/EFCA



Maritime assistance

Maritime safety and trafic

Search & rescue

Customs at sea









Security of Ships and ports

environment

Border control and surveillance

Fishery control



Maritime surveillance

Emergency response

Law enforcement



45 missions of State action at sea



Customs policy

Coast guard function



























CISE Common information sharing environment




CISE Common information sharing environment





Coast guard function IT monitoring





SPATIONAV V2

French Maritime surveillance system





New capacities





New projects

Data Minning

Advanced services



Integrated maritime picture



Thank you for your attention



Chiffres

clés

INTERACT — Maritime Safety and Security & Post 2020 21-22 November 2017 in Porto / Portugal

European Coast Guard Functions Forum







Bundespolizei

The European Coast Guard Functions Forum (ECGFF)

ECGFF is a self-governing, non-binding, voluntary independent and non-political forum

The main goals are:

- Support its members for responding efficiently to challenges of changing maritime scenarios
- Sharing of expertise and best practice among coast guard organisations
- Harmonizing actions and sharing resources
- Working closely together and developing a common approach for maritime security and safety
- Mutual benefits for all members



The ECGFF is a non-binding, voluntary, independent and non-political forum promoting maritime issues of importance and of common interest across borders and sectors, both civil and military.

The European Coast Guard Function Forum is a central platform for initiating and implementing European co-operation in maritime security and safety. The main goal is to support its members for responding efficiently to childenges of changing maritime scenarios. It facilitates the sharing of expertise and best practises among European coast guard organisations, harmonising actions and by streamlining resource specificiting. Working (close) together and developing a common maritime security and safety approach allows for mutual benefits for all members.



Historie ECGFF



- Founded 2009
- Funding first by FRONTEX
- 2010 Spain
- 2011 Sweden
- 2012 Ireland
- 2013 Greece
- 2014 Italy
- 2015 Finland
- 2016 Great Britain
- 2017 Portugal
- 2018 Germany



Collaboration, Cooperation, Coordination

- To build and maintain a network of Heads of national authorities for Coast Guard Functions and designated Officers from EU Institutions, Agencies and Directorates with related competencies in CGFs
- Development of common operational procedures and standards in line with described international norms, reinforce synergies and improved operational preparedness
- Cooperation and response across borders and sectors to consider the possibilities of promoting trust, burden sharing, asset sharing and enhanced regional cooperation
- To establish a standing forum promoting the exchange of information, expertise, technical assistance, best practice, training exercises and education



COAST GUARD FUNCTIONS

- 1. Maritime safety, including vessel traffic management
- 2. Maritime ship and port security
- 3. Maritime customs activities
- 4. The prevention and suppression of trafficking and smuggling and connected maritime law enforcement
- 5. Maritime border control
- 6. Maritime monitoring and surveillance
- 7. Maritime environmental protection and response
- 8. Maritime search and rescue
- 9. Ship casualty and maritime assistance service
- 10. Accident and disaster response
- 11. Fisheries inspection and control





Previous results ECGFF

- Working in fixed structures
 - Plenary Meeting
 - Secretary meetings
 - Working groups
 - Terms of references
- Homepage in 2013
- Feasibility study in 2014
- Academy Network in 2015
 - ECGFF AKA NET
 - Training Portal
 - Student Exchange
- Exercise Coastex17
- Different workshops in sharing best practises



German Chairmanship ECGFF Projects 2017 - 2018





- Cyber technologies have become essential to the operation and management of numerous systems critical to the safety and security of shipping and protection of the marine environment
- The vulnerabilities created by accessing, interconnecting or networking these systems can lead to respective cyber risks
 (e. g. manipulation of steering systems, ECDIS, access control systems) which have to be addressed accordingly.



Objectives Cyber Attack Prevention

- Analyse potential cyber risks for operational assets of the European Coast Guard services and commercial shipping
- Raise awareness of Cyber Risk Threats to Coast Guard vessels
- Identify protective measures for operational assets and the shipping industry in general
- Create a "market of opportunities" for the users in order to present preexisting solutions to these problems.
- Increase technical maritime Cyber Defence in cooperation with governmental and civil partners (EMSA, Federal Office for Information Security (BSI), German Aerospace Centre (DLR), German Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH) and ECGFF Member States



Project Mobile Training Concept Boarding

- Boarding is daily necessary in every Coast Guard Function
- Trainings are normally being conducted in national training centers with the respective equipment of the host organization.
- In order to provide possible joint boarding teams with an ideal tailored training for an International Maritime Operation the training should be organized in the operational area with the respective weather conditions and the equipment which will be used during the foreseen operation
- A mobile training concept based on common standards would present a good solution to this situation



Objectives Mobile Training Concept Boarding

- Communication on required content in the area of "boarding".
- Develop consistent training procedures and standards regarding boarding techniques and define common standards for a mobile trainer team
- Initiate a process to create common standards for boarding procedures under the ECGFF Member States
- Construct training courses concerning common boarding techniques as a follow up project
- To establish a trainer pool certified by ECGFF / EBCG (FRONTEX) / EFCA as a follow up project.
- Creation of various courses (modules) in follow-up projects.



Bundespolizei

Project Network Coast Guard Centers / Project MSSC

- 2/3 of the volume of cargo worldwide is currently transported by sea, which underlines the importance of maritime trade.
- In this context one of the main tasks of coast guard functions is to minimize or reduce risks in the maritime domain.
- This task requires the availability of concrete and detailed information in order to enable coast guard services to asses the current situation or possible incidents correctly.



Objectives Network Coast Guard Centers / Project MSSC

- A major goal of the workshop is to enhance the collaboration and cooperation between the different ECGFF-members, respectively the Coast Guard Centres (CGC) in Europe.
- In this context, maritime security as well as safety topics will represent the main aspects, which concern EBCG (FRONTEX), EMSA and EFCA.
- In the future, a joint maritime picture should be established in Europe, its contents should be determined in this workshop.
- A long-term objective of this project should be adaptation of technical infrastructure at the CGC's in Europe.
- Following projects should be initiated



Project

Multinational and cross functional Crews in Coast Guard Operations

- There are currently a number of multinational and cross functional activities in the Member States.
- There are multinational crews as well as national cross functional crews, which are already sharing capacities and using one asset for different tasks.
- Problems are arising as a result of differing training levels, approaches to issues, tactics and practices, working time regulations, technology, legality, and the inability to compare / verify foreign qualification documents in the maritime domain.



Objectives Multinational and cross functional Crews in Coast Guard Operations

- To determine the problems and find practical solutions with the participants of the Workshop
- To develop a common concept
- Joint operations with mixed international cross functional crews could consolidate human and material resources
- To create a groundwork for a collective legal framework and to develop Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)
- The results will be supplied to the agencies to initiated following projects / working groups

Thank you for your Attention

Birgit Thärichen German Project Office ECGFF Phone: +49 4561 4071 – 127 E-Mail: ecgff-germany@polizei.bund.de



Programme perspective





New Interreg Atlantic Area Programme A first call for projects tailored for results

Knowledge of the Seas Network 21.11.2017, Porto

Morgane Lesage Joint Secretariat Directo INTERREG Atlantic Area



INTERREG Atlantic Area aims to achieve significant and tangible progress towards cohesive, sustainable and balanced territorial development of the Atlantic regions and their maritime heritage, promoting solutions to respond to challenges of the actors involved in innovation and competitiveness, resource efficiency, environment and cultural assets, reducing the existing regional disparities.





What is INTERREG Atlantic Area?

- ✓ Financing of international cooperation projects;
- Contribution for economic, social and territorial cohesion;
- A direct answer to regional challenges in the field of:
 - Innovation and competiveness
 - Resource efficiency
 - Natural and cultural heritage
 - Support to regional and sustainable development





Cooperation area

- ✓ 37 regions
- An expanded area compared to the previous programme due to the inclusion of new regions such as Canary Islands (Spain), the autonomous regions of the Azores and Madeira (Portugal)





Priorities and Objectives



- 1. Stimulating innovation and competitiveness to accelerate intelligent growth
- 1.1 Enhancing innovation capacity through cooperation to foster competitiveness
- 1.2 Strengthening the transfer of innovation results to facilitate the emergence of new products services and processes



- 2. Fostering resource efficiency promoting renewable energies and energy efficiency models
- 2.1 Fostering of renewable energies and energy efficiency
- 2.2 Fostering Green growth, eco-innovation and environmental efficiency



Priorities and Objectives



- 3. Strengthening the territory's resilience to risk of natural, climate and human origins
- 3.1 Strengthening risks management systems



- 4. Enhancing biodiversity and the natural and cultural assets of the Atlantic Area
- 4.1 Improving the protection of biodiversity and ecosystems' services
- 4.2 Enhancing natural and cultural assets to stimulate economic development



Breakdown per priority axis

| Priority | ERDF | Total | Co-financing rate |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Priority 1 – Innovation and competitiveness | 47 117 240 | 62 822 988 | 75% |
| Priority 2 - Resource efficiency | 29 744 404 | 39 659 206 | 75% |
| Priority 3 - Territorial risks | 15 267 039 | 20 356 053 | 75% |
| Priority 4 - Biodiversity, natural and cultural assets | 39 483 720 | 52 644 961 | 75% |
| Technical assistance | 8 400 791 | 9 883 284 | 85% |
| Total | 140 013 194 | 185 366 492 | 76% |



Expected results

- ✓ Measurable results;
- ✓ Tangible results;
- Sustainable after the end of the project duration;
- Projects with territorial impact;
- ✓ Long term capitalisation.









Type of results

Five types of project results :

- \checkmark Case studies, technical and scientific publications;
- ✓ Politics, strategies;
- ✓ Operational tools;
- Actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results.









Cooperation criteria

- ✓ Joint development : the project idea and scope are jointly developed by the partners;
- ✓ Joint execution : the activities, outputs and results are jointly realised by the partners;
- ✓ Joint staffing: all partners have equal responsibility for joint staffing roles and their application within the project;
- \checkmark Joint financing: all partners contribute financially to the project resources.





Partnership

- ✓ Mobilisation of key actors;
- ✓ Profile and number;



- At least three financing partners from at least 3 different Members states from the Atlantic Area;
- ✓ Demonstrate complementary expertise;
- ✓ Balanced relevant representation;
- \checkmark The project leader has to be a public or private organisation but not-for-profit.







General eligibility rules

Different levels of eligibility rules of expenditure: EU regulations, Programme level, national and partner

Eligibility period : start date – notification of Monitoring Committee by the Joint Secretariat

Eligibility requirements

Costs for preparation

Co-financing up to 75%


Budget lines





Calendar and latest developments :

- The kick-off event was organised in Porto on 24 May 2016, with more than 300 participants from the five Member States: official launch and further information about the thematic investment priorities and the first call for projects.
- First call published in April 2016, in two steps, and was open to all priorities of the programme.
- The first step ran from 26 April to 31 May 2016: applicants presented an Expression of Interest (EOI). The Joint Secretariat received 425 EOI, from which the Monitoring Committee (MC) selected 102 proposals to present a full project application on a second stage, from 31 October 2016 to 11 January 2017.
- ✓ The MC meeting in Porto, on 4-5 May 2017: decision on the first call with 45 approved projects.
- ✓ Contractualisation process





43 projects approved in the first call



- Innovation and competitiveness
- Resource efficiency
- Territorial risks
- Biodiversity, natural and cultural assets





CALL FOR PROPOSALS

43 projects approved in the first call - Lead Partner by country







CALL FOR PROPOSALS

43 projects approved in the first call - Lead Partner by region





Example : Mycoast project

- MyCoast aims at deploying and capitalizing innovative and standardized tools in the risk management systems applied mainly to extreme weather events leading to flooding, maritime safety and coastal pollution.
- Development of coastal observing systems : The project will also set up common methodologies for validation and inter-comparison, that will improve the quality of the numerical modelling results for providing an effective response to coastal risks (coastal flooding, eutrophication, oil spills, coastal erosion, bathing water quality and microplastics).
- Development of coastal risks tools : added value applications derived from coastal observatories utilizing observations and models. The tools will be co-developed by the partners and will be open source and based on free software, which will ensure sustainability and continuity of application and development of the tools after the end of the project.
- Pilot implementations of coastal risk tools : demonstrations and case studies that will result in recommendations for the improvement of tools and for the design of policies and risk management and prevention systems.



Example : @BluePorts

- @BluePorts aims at mobilising the maritime community to jointly design attractive port based reception/treatment services for polluted water, starting from oil and ballast water.
- The main goal is to create awareness and motivation to stop discharge at sea using the Atlantic Area as a support platform to prototype, test, demonstrate and communicate via pilot sites and workshops.
- ✓ Several innovations Technology :
- An Innovative process to enable integrated treatment of various oiled effluents and optimise costs/time for ships and ports, increase reception capacities, facilitate valorisation of oil residues and avoid long distance transport to treatment plants
- A user friendly on line location, booking and reporting Service
- ✓ New economy models : Innovative business models (with value. chains), incentives and financial facilities to reduce costs and favour investments in PRFs.

✓ New concept : the "One Stop Shop" port reception/ treatment service for ships polluted waters (especially for hydrocarbons and BW) as an added value to ports operation and maintenance portfolio.



Thank you !

Morgane Lesage

morgane.lesage@atlanticarea.eu www.atlanticarea.eu

PROMOTING **SMART**, **SUSTAINABLE** AND **INCLUSIVE GROWTH** FOR THE ATLANTIC AREA



Atlantic Action Plan Priorities and the role of the Support Team

Jorge Graça National Unit Portugal

Maritime Safety and Security & Post 2020 Interact Porto, 21.11.2017





Agenda

- A few notes on:
 - The Atlantic Action Plan
 - The role of the support team
 - Link with Maritime Safety and Security
 - Link with PT strategies

The Atlantic Action Plan COM(2013)279

 Identifies key investment and research priorities along the EU's Atlantic seaboard, promoting the development of mature and emerging sectors in the marine and maritime economy.

• Four Priorities

- 1. Promote entrepreneurship and innovation
- 2. Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment
- 3. Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment
- 4. Improve accessibility and connectivity

The Atlantic Action Plan

Priority 1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation

- Sharing knowledge between higher education organisations, companies and research centres
- Enhancement of competitiveness and innovation capacities in the maritime economy of the Atlantic area
- Fostering adaptation and diversification of economic activities by promoting the potential of the Atlantic

Priority 3 - Improve accessibility and connectivity

• Promoting cooperation between ports

PROMOTING SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH FOR THE ATLANTIC AREA

Priority 4 - Create a socially inclusive and sustainable model of regional development

- Fostering better knowledge of social challenges in the Atlantic area
- Preserving and promoting the Atlantic's **cultural heritage**

Priority 2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment

- Improving maritime safety and security
 - Reinforcing the safety and security of seafarers, coastal populations, property and ecosystems
- Exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones
- Sustainable management of marine resources
- Exploitation of the **renewable energy** potential of the Atlantic area's marine and coastal environment

The Support Team

- Central Team (Bxl)
- 5 National Units
- France
- Ireland
- Portugal
- Spain
- United kingdom



The Support Team for the AAP Our mission

- Guidance towards relevant research and investment priorities
- Advice on available financial instruments (regional, national, EU,...)
- Match-making platform to find potential project partners
- Highlights on latest relevant policy developments, and relevant events



The Support Team for the AAP

Contributions to the topic of Maritime Safety and Security

- 2nd Atlantic Stakeholder Platform Conference Brest, France, 29 October 2015
- Eight workshops focused on topics related to Priority 2 of the AAP, including maritime safety and security



PROMOTING SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH FOR THE ATLANTIC AREA

The Support Team for the AAP

Contributions to the topic of Maritime Safety and Security

A few project ideas on www.atlanticstrategy.eu



Página Principal Sobre Nós Obter Financiamento Parceiros Temas Notícias & Eventos Recursos Links Contacte-nos

A Equipa de Apoio do Plano de Acção para o Atlântico

Fornecemos orientação e apoio proactivo a organizações públicas e privadas, instituições de investigação e universidades, e investidores institucionais e privados da Região Atlântica que que pretendam envolver-se na implementação do Plano de Acção para o Atlântico.

A Equipa de Apoio consiste numa rede de "<u>Unidades Nacionais</u>" que operam em Portugal, França, Irlanda, Espanha e Reino Unido, coordenadas por uma <u>equipa central</u> baseada em Bruxelas.



EVENTOS

26/04/2016 - 28/04/2016 Next Generation Marine Power & Propulsion conference

Brief notes on Portugal (1)

National Ocean Strategy 2013-2020

Challenges

- Administration
- Culture and Communication
- Education, science and technology
- Assertion and international cooperation
 - Community of Portuguese Speaking Countries
 - Protecting the marine environment
 - Protection and safeguarding



Brief notes on Portugal (2)

Extension of the Continental Platform



Brief notes on Portugal (2)

Extension of the Continental Platform

- Discussion of the proposal (subimeted in 2009) started in September at the UN -Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf
- Extension grants jurisdiction over the seabed and maritime subsoil (i.e. mineral resources)
- Beyond the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)

Notes for Reflection

 Policy coordination/alignment between EU, national and regional strategies

 New maritime activies = new challenges for safety and security?

Obrigado!

Jorge Graça 931676971 nationalunitportugal@atlanticstrategy.eu www.atlanticstrategy.eu

PROMOTING SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH FOR THE ATLANTIC AREA



Cooperation Programme Italy - France Maritime 2014 - 2020

MARITIME SAFETY AND SECURITY & POST 2020

Maria Dina Tozzi – Interreg VA Italy-France Maritime 2014-2020

PORTO, 21-22 November 2017



The Programme area

Cooperation at the heart of the Mediterranean La cooperazione al cuore del Mediterraneo

| CORSICA | Intera regione |
|----------|----------------------|
| LIGURIA | Intera regione |
| PACA | Alpi-Marittime Var |
| SARDEGNA | Intera regione |



2007-2013 : First steps to enhance sea monitoring in a highly fragile environment

- Safe transport of goods and passengers
- Prevention of maritime risks and protection of fragile maritime area (International Pelagos Sanctuary)



Best practices 1



Projects and actions referring to mobility networks and port, airport and inter-port logistics, information systems on intermodal mobility (info-mobility), and the safety of transport means and passengers.





1) Security of fragile and disabled maritime passengers (project SIC)

2) Risks for maritime transportation related to winds (project WIND AND HARBOURS)

Best practices (2)



Ecological and environmental protection projects and networks related to monitoring and prevention of maritime risks



- 1) GECT of International sea park of Bonifacio Mouths (project GECT PMIBB)
- 2) Integrated maritime monitoring system (MOMAR/SICOMAR)

The turning point in awareness raising about maritime safety : the Costa Concordia Shipwreck (January 2012)



2014-2020 : A more targeted approach towards maritime safety and security : WHY

- To implement the complex regulatory framework for the protection of the marine environment starting from Directive 2008/56/CE, which establishes a reference framework for EU action in the field of policies on the marine environment and recognises monitoring as an important tool for environmental protection, through the definition of shared policies and an integrated control system for the cross-border maritime area;
- To adopt a comprehensive approach towards the themes of maritime safety, developing territorial and multisector cooperation in order to improve coverage of the control and surveillance systems for the transport of goods and passengers in the area of cooperation, in compliance with the provisions of the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA), of the EU Erika package (dir.2002/59/EC) and the "EU Maritime Security Strategy" (11205/14 of 25/6/2014)

2014-2020 : A more effective approach towards maritime safety and security :HOW

Favour investments to increase the coverage of ICT navigation safety systems, for the creation of a joint monitoring centre for the transport of dangerous goods and for the creation of joint training laboratories for maritime workers.

- Development and application of forecasting and detection systems and risk monitoring methods, in particular on potential navigation security hazards, including through investments for the acquisition of instruments such as radars, drones, and / or satellite data, goods tracking;
- Development of geolocalisation and remote sensing systems for the monitoring and management of maritime traffic in the partnership zone
- Development of forcasting models to monitor the propogation of waste, wastewater and hazardous substances dumped into the sea
- Design, implementation and sustainability of a Joint Centre for monitoring the transport of dangerous goods
- Creation of joint laboratories to improve workers' skills for safe navigation in emergency management (ensure passenger safety, avoid spills at sea).





SICOMAR plus

- Sharing and interoperability of data according to the standards currently used in Europe (INSIPRE Directive)
- Ship detection (redundant and multifunction systems)
- Services for navigation safety for large ships
- Applications and services for safety throughout navigation (including leisure)
- Weather routing services aimed at the safety of certain categories of ships





43.5

42.6

42.0 9.0

New services for safety at sea











= 26 GIUGNO - 1 LUGLIO 2017 =






Increasing Radar coverage with IMPACT

....Further steps in safety and security





2014-2020 : but also an extended approach towards maritime safety and security

Increase sustainability of ports (LNG, reduction of noise, air and water quality).



2014-2020 : A more comprehensive approach towards maritime safety and security .Investing in the sustainability of ports

- 4 Projects for the development of a multimodal transport system linked to the ports included in the TEN-T: (CIRCUMVECTIO, GEECCTT-Iles, GO SMartT Med, NECTEMUS);
- 8 Projects setting up joint action plans and pilot actions to wastewater disposal in the port areas: (GEREMIA, GRRinPORT, IMPATTI-NO, MATRAC ACP, PRISMA MED, PORT 5R, QUALIPORTI, SPLASH!);
- 2 projects for investments ininfrastructures and services of ports included in the TEN-T network (ICT platforms integrated with smart services): (EASYLOG, MOBIMART);
- 5 projects for defining models of trafic regulation and noise reduction in port areas: (LIST PORT, MON ACUMEN, REPORT, RUMBLE, TRIPLO);
- 4 projects for feasibility studies on use of less polluting fuels and the investments about LNG in commercial ports :(GNL FACILE, PROMO GNL, SIGNAL, TDI RETE-GNL).

Post 2020: acknowledge the new Mediterranean framework

The WestMed Initiative, launched by the EC with the "Actions for the sustainable development of the blue economy in the Western Mediterranean" approved on 19.4.2017 (COM (2017) 183 final is closely linked to the PC Maritime Italy France 2014 - 2020 with particular emphasis on:

- the promotion of a safer and more secure maritime space, which is the aim of PC's Goal 2;
- better governance of the sea, also pursued by means of PC's Goal 2



WestMED Initiative





10 Countries Algeria, France, Italy, Libya, Malta, Mauritania, Morocco, Portugal, Spain and Tunisia

WestMED Initiative



- Cooperation
 between Coast
 Guards
- Maritime Safety and response to Marine Pollution
- Maritime monitoring data

- Strategic research and innovation
- Maritime cluster development
- Skills development and circulation
- Sustaination consum
 - production

- Maritime Spatial planning and coastal management
- Marine and maritime knowledge
- Biodiversity and habitat
 conservation
- Sustainable fisheries

POST 2020: Start an early capitalisation strongly aligned with the Westmed initiative

| Macro cluster | Thematic cluster | Objectives of West Med Initiative | Projects |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Macro cluster Safe maritime environment (5a, 5b, 6c2, 7c) | Thematic cluster Sustainable ports and safety at sea | | Projects DECIBEL GEREMIA GRAMAS GRAMAS GRRinPORT L.I.S.T. Port MATRAC - ACP MON ACUMEN QUALIPORTI REPORT RUMBLE |
| | | | SE.D.RI.PORT SEDITERRA |
| | | | SPIasH! |
| | | | TRIPLO |



Project perspective







Safety Enhancement in transport by Achieving Human Orientated Resilient Shipping Environment By Prof Osman Turan Project Co-ordinator

FP7 Project Under Technology Transfer Duration: November 2013- October 2016

Maritime Safety and Security Workshop PORTO, 21-November-2017 EAHORSE



91

Safety issues in the Maritime Industry

Despite advances in design, on-board navigational and communicational aids, and training facilities, accidents occur regularly causing safety problems for people on board, ships, cargo and environment

- FACTS:
- More than 100,000 vessels registered worldwide
- Around 600 major accidents a year
- Over 100 vessels lost a year
- Over 80% of the accidents are attributed to Human and organisational factors

CURRENT APPROACH:

- Mainly focusing on Technical solutions
- Solutions proposed after the occurrence of accidents
- Human Factors are not taken into account properly CRITICISM :
- Reactive? Overregulated?
- Too Much Reliance on Rules?
- Blame Culture?

EAHORSE

MOTIVATION

- Physical capabilities and the limitations of human overlooked in maritime as the human is not evolving in the same way that technology is evolving.
- The air transport sector, which is in many ways similar to the marine sector have been facing similar human and organisational factors that affect operational safety.
- However the airline industry has been managing these issues by approaching the same problem systematically and developing much more advanced methodologies and techniques that can be adapted to the marine industry while benefiting from the experience of air transport.



SEAHORSE Project

<u>The SEAHORSE project aims to achieve meaningful improvements</u> <u>in shipping safety by addressing human and organisational factors</u> <u>towards achieving resilient shipping operations through</u>

- transferring the well proven practices and methodologies from **AVIATION** to **MARITIME SECTOR** in an effective, collaborative and innovative manner.
- Implementing MULTILEVEL RESILIENCE ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES to Shipping
- Developing a methodology to manage non-standard practices
- Implement the developed solutions and validate them for specific scenarios



RESILIENCE

Resilience is the intrinsic ability of a system to adjust its functioning prior to, during, or following changes and disturbances, so that it can sustain required operations even after a major mishap (or in the presence of continuous stress).

SEAHORSE FOCUSES on the ability of individuals, groups, and organizations to anticipate the changing shape of risk before damage occurs (EXPECT THE UNEXPECTED)

SEAHORSE develop resilience resources to prevent a decrease in system performance, allowing the system to return to baseline performance much more quickly and display greater resilient behavior.



How?

- 1. Identify how errors and non-standard practices were managed successfully in air transport
- 2. Check the feasibility of applying best practices and resilience concepts to improve human/organisational errors and safety in shipping
- 3. Develop the Technology Transfer Framework from air to marine for successful implementation

SEAHORSE Outputs

Safety Enhancement in transport by Achieving Human Orientated Resilient Shipping Environment

EAHORSE

Technology Transfer

From Aviation

To Maritime

SEAHORSE Consortium

Sweden

Poland

Czech Repub

STRATHCLYDE UNIVERSITY (MARINE) TNO (AIR-MARINE) **DEEPBLUE** (AIR) LLOYD'S REGISTER EMEA (AIR-MARINE) SATAKUNTA UNIVERSITY OF **APPLIED SCIENCES (MARINE)** CALMAC FERRIES LTD (MARINE) DANAOS SHIPPING (MARINE) Germany JUMBO SHIPPING (MARINE) **TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN (AIR)** ESM (AIR-MARINE) **AP&A Ltd (MARINE)** France **KRATIS TRAINING AND CONSULTING LTD (AIR)** ISTANBUL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY (MARINE)

UK NL IT UK FI UK CY NL IRL ES **GR/UK** Roma CY TR

Finland



DEEPBLUE consulting&research







Caledonian MacBrayne







SEAHORSE Advisory Board

AIRBUS (AIR) **UK MARITIME COASTGUARD AGENCY (MARINE)** LOGAN AIR (AIR) **ZODIAC SHIPPING (MARINE)** CYPRUS AIRWAYS (AIR) SENER PETROL (MARINE) SWEDISH CLUB (P&I) FINNISH TRANSPORT SAFETY AGENCY (MARINE) K LINE LNG (MARINE) TEEKAY (MARINE) **GASLOG (MARINE)** EASYJET(AIR) STASA (AIR) AENA (AIRPORT-OPERATOR) SASEMAR (MARINE) HILL ROBINSON INTERNATIONAL INC (MARINE) A.E. NOMIKOS SHIPPING (MARINE) MISC BERHAD SHIPPING (MARINE) HELLENIC TANKERS (MARINE) **ABS (MARINE)**

- The maritime sector, in the category of secondary users, contrasts strongly with the aviation sector.
- The maritime sector has a much more broad and varied category of secondary users. stakeholders such as agents, towage companies, pilot companies, stevedoring, ship owners, etc. all of whom have inputs to the safety and reliability of the operation. Safety and efficiency are often opposing forces and compromises often have to be made.
- The aviation sector has ATM, maintenance and airport services to manage in terms of secondary stakeholders



- The aviation sector can be broadly said to have better systems and procedures in place to oversee, assess and ensure the currency of personnel training. One particular example is Human Factor (HF) training, which is in the maritime sector is only mandated for certain grades of staff.
- The fact that only certain grades in maritime receive the training means that its potential impact on the system functioning is decreased.
- The aviation sector is assisted in managing training by regulations which state the AMC (Acceptable Means of Compliance) whereby the regulators state what they view as an acceptable means of implementing the regulations and rules.



- Both the maritime and aviation sectors are highly regulated but it is clear that oversight and the interpretation and implementation of regulations are an area where the maritime sector could learn from the aviation sector.
- The lack of a mandatory quality approval system for Flag States is creating a big safety discrepancy between the potential and actual safety of the maritime system.
- While the maritime sector seems to tolerate this systemic safety 'workaround' the aviation system is far less tolerant of safety 'fudges'.
- the EU and US aviation safety regulators have the power to ban airlines that fail to meet their safety requirement from entering Eurosean airspace. EAHORSE

- For both sectors paperwork and task loads are very important challenges for their operations.
- In the aviation sector workload has sometimes been decreased due to automation on the flight deck, whereas in the maritime sector the paperwork on the bridge is reported to increase the workload.
- One issue in maritime sector is the compartmentalisation of safety where it is viewed as separate from the operation and not related to everyday work. This leads to the notion that safety is somebody else's problem and results in a diffusion of responsibility for safety



Aviation has a standardised mandatory occurrence reporting system as International Civil Aviation Authority, ICAO Annex 13 (Chapter 8) requires States to establish mandatory incident reporting systems to gather information on actual or potential safety deficiencies. ICAO further require aviation companies to have in place formal processes to collect, analyse and act upon feedback on hazards and risks as part of mandated safety management systems The maritime sector has not reached the maturity level of aviation despite the efforts within the IMO, and different authorities use different taxonomies to guide the collection of data. Additionally there are significant variations in the standards of reporting. This creates a significant problem with regards to the creation of a single maritime occurrence reporting taxonomy as well as a centralised database.



SEAHORSE Transfer Methodology



ACHIEVEMENTS (1)

46 GAPS between Aviation and Maritime were identified under different headings

| Gap area | NO | Examples |
|----------------------------------|----|---|
| stakeholders | 9 | VTS authority, transitional Regulations |
| Functional Demands | 7 | Human-Machine Interface, procedures |
| Training | 5 | Reluctance to report, HF training |
| Regulations | 7 | Flag of Convenience, Scope of Personal Licensing |
| Current Challenges | 4 | Paperwork, Multicultural crew, fatigue |
| Human and Organisational Factors | 1 | Human and Organisational issues |
| Operational Demands | 5 | Standardisation, Reporting |
| Socio-Economic Issues | 6 | Lack of proper rest hours, length of time at work |



Scenario Production – 3 SEAHORSE SCENARIOS

- 1. Paperwork and workload
- 2. Industry-wide standard for analysing incidents/accidents
- 3. Workarounds / Non-adherence to company procedures











| Step 1 – Database of | Resil | ience Reso | urces | | |
|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|-------|
| OBJECTIVE | ŀ | APPROACH | | OUTCOME | |
| Identify & compile a database of successfully implemented resilience resources (solutions) in air transport | expe Liter | olders and safety erts interviews rature analysis search review | | Database with 166 solut | tions |
| Safety Solution | | Area of resilience improvement Facilitate efficient team | Resilience level Organization | Ability Monitor React | |
| N Pilot flving and Pilot Not Flving: When two pilot fixed-wing aeroplane which requires a two-persoin crew, the aircraft commander, who hold the rank occupies the left hand seat and the First Officer of occupies the right hand seat. Status Status Regulation by Manufacturer: The manufacturer in the following information: system? 2 check list for normal, abnormal and emerge conditional procedures (a non-routine, but reprint provides in the provides in the provides in the routine). | or Co-Pilot cturer usually s limitation; | Facilitate safe and effective performance | | n Monitor React | |
| N Implemented Solution Safety bulletins: Periodical bulleting compilation and analysis of thousand EUROCONTROL | ns containing t nds of ATM OL safety bulle | Area of resilience improvement the etins Coordinate safety and initiatives | Resilience Level Organization Multi-Party | Monitor | |
| 4 are the output of EVAIR, but single are the output of EVAIR, but single ANSP can release their periodical safety occurrence and voluntary re | eporting | | | | ~~ |

| the maritime domain in our experts' opinion highly transferability transferability investigation promising transferability not considered as the top priority for safety improvements for our overests | | | 9 solutions 6 solutions |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| to be transferred to the maritime domain in our experts' opinion highly promising for transferability promising for transferability promising for transferability promising for transferability not considered as the top priority for safety improvements for our not considered as the top priority for safety not considered as the transferability | va colutiono to | | |
| •however, the solutions in this category were rated as highly beneficial for maritime safety. •however, the solutions in this category were rated as highly beneficial for maritime safety. | ated g for bility dered as riority for nents for ts; ta on the sy in the sector. *Nic Solu •prov tran •Rate to h with imp safe •unc avai | be further ice to have" utions omising for ansferability ited as "nice have" even if thout a major pact on fety; clear data on ailability in aritime sector. | A solutions A solutions |

Step 4 – Feasibility Analysis

OBJEPTerflight checklist

Application example

OUTCOME

Eastibility of transfor and

 Standard checklists covering all aspects of ship operation, focussing on pre-arrival, pre-departure and contingencies. The standard should not only cover the essential checks, but also be of a format that does not allow violations.

APPROACH

Legal/Regulatory implications

• The maritime equivalence is the Ship Operating Manual. Depending on the task, there may be requirements in regulations. A lot of the material giving checklist guidance is non-regulatory and provided by industry

Expected time required for implementation and adoption

Short term (<1 year)

Expected benefits

- Short term (up to 3 years)
- Standard checklists when designed correctly, will immediately improve resilience during routine departure and arrival operations. They will also significantly change outcomes when appropriately implemented during emergencies.



Estim

ACHIEVEMENTS (2)

- Transfer of Best Practice from aviation to maritime is feasible with potential positive high impact
- Solutions available in aviation need to be adapted to maritime and therefore adaptation procedure is essential.
- SEAHORSE developed a transfer methodology which can be implemented to transfer of best practices from one sector to another



ACHIEVEMENTS (3)

• SEAHORSE captured first time in maritime sector the alternative approaches observed in practice (109)



 SEAHORSE developed a novel methodology and tool to manage nonstandard practices and procedure improvements. BEING IMPLEMENTED

EAHORSE



PROCEDURE IMP

These Names or Print and Super-



Harre Suggest & Procedure Internetioner: Main Suggestions, SIOPa, South SOPa, Admin.



A LEAP FORWARD TOWARDS SHIPPING SAFETY

New and innovative workarcentide methodology for the advertification and intrestant of the regative positive outcomes of the practice of criter attining workaiturate.



Response in Provinciana Construction (1996) (1996)

adjustice a strength little





When all majoremuch insta-Income and the



Nam Add Continues Marrie Personal Terrore Constitutes T-summing a



What is a Tenned Carrieling Property of Street

A standard specific provides (CP), to a decombine and function and function for the second statements of the second statements and the second statements are specific as a second statement of the second statements are specific as a statement of the second statements are specific as a statement of the second statements are specific as a statement of the second statements are specific as a statement of the second statements are specific as a statement of the second statement of the se built. For youry and a 200 a south set the obtained 200 process of space is southed for your rate of the space of the solution 200 at to prove the number of added a second by the second of a distance by remaining the second prove address of a second

Phase is a Principle Ingenerating TT

String, in 127, so in stage, black and raises a set is printed a set of statement in a statement and from the set of the line of the set of the "Summariant is default as a design that are an extended on their light's that are in which was been added as an area of the second of the principal to the TCF 3 minimized begin strated to any define the select from his and principal and have all addresses of any solution







http://seahorse.smt.strath.ac.uk/

ACHIEVEMENTS (5) Best Practices- Maritime Checklists by CALMAC Ferries

- Based on Airbus Checklist format
- Airbus support
- Involved Crew fully during the development
- A5 presentation
- One word check items
- Only safety critical items
- Check and Verify (eliminate SPF)
- Follows voyage phases
- Dry wipe pen reusable/ tablet
- Account and verify all actions via VDR



/erbally verify all actions to VDR between checker & verifier

| С | CONDITIONS | HARBOUR | | | |
|----|-------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | HARBOUR DEPARTURE - PILOTAGE Check & Verify | | | |
| | | Visor | Clear of linkspan, bow closed & secure | | |
| A | APPROACH | SOLAS Panel | Openings secure, switch to sea | | |
| | | | ME & Pitch - transfer & verify control to centre | | |
| nt | | Centre console | Thruster - transfer & verify control to centre | | |
| | | | Steering - transfer & verify control to centre | | |
| L | LIMITATIONS | William Street and | Wing pitch & thruster set to zero | | |
| | | Wing console | Steering set to zero, rudders synchronised | | |
| | | Thrusters | Off | - | |
| M | MOORING | Hand Steering | Standby motors remain on | | |
| | | Car deck | Secure, fans on, fire detection on | | |
| | | Access | Passenger doors and lifts secure | - | |
| | | Stabilisers | Extended & operating | | |
| Α | ABORT | Fo'c'sle | Anchors secure, winches off | - | |
| | | Status | AMBER or RED for Pilotage | - | |
| C | COMMENT | Verified | Pilotage checks complete - record in log book | - | - |

| PILOTAGE ZONE - Outward complete | | Check & Verify | С | v |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------|---|---|
| Status | AMBER ZONE - Pilotage | | | |
| Hand Steering | Standby motors off | | | |
| Autopilot | Engaged & BNWAS on | | | |
| Fo'c'sle | Thrusters off | | | |
| FAOP | FAOP rung (sea mode) | | | |
| Status | GREEN, AMBER or RED for voyage | | | |
| Verified | Pilotage zone complete - record in log book | | | |

For long pilotage zones - such as West Loch Tarbert and Ullapool

CalMac Forries Limited

Inn 201

U.P.1

mergencies



SAFETY CULTURE:

How an organisation behaves when no one is watching

SAFETY CULTURE FRAMEWORK



CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE

- SEAHORSE project clearly demonstrate that different transport modes can and should work together to share the best practices with practical impact on safety.
- SEAHORSE created significant awareness within maritime community that, safety can be enhanced beyond compliance of rules and regulation through human and organisational factors and through THE NEW SAFETY APPROACH.
- While SEAHORSE developed novel, practical methodologies and tools to enhance maritime safety through transfer of best practices in human/organisational factors, in order to create industry wide take up and impact, it is necessary to have a continuation to turn these methodologies and tools to industry standards.
- In order to achieve this industry-wide take up, a large group of complimentary stakeholders should work together in an implementation project.
 EAHORSE







THE ROYAL INSTITUTION OF NOVAL ABUILTING TO

THE 2016 MARITIME SAFETY AWARD

is presented to the

SEAHORSE Project Consortium

in recognition of its contribution to the improvement of maritime safety

The SEATORSE Project was the first project to the world southing to anhance solidly by transforring best practices in one mode of transport to another mode of transport. It has clearly demonstrated that different transport number care and idential work together to share the best practices with practical impact on marifime solidly. In doing so, the Project has neads a significant contribution to the improvement of neartime solidly.

Clarif Landine of Nanti Architere





THANK YOU

http://www.seahorseproject.eu/ Co-ordinator: Osman Turan o.turan@strath.ac.uk

ADVISORY BOARD AIRBUS (AIR) **UK MARITIME COASTGUARD** AGENCY (MARINE) LOGAN AIR (AIR) ZODIAC SHIPPING (MARINE) CYPRUS AIRWAYS (AIR) SENER PETROL (MARINE) SWEDISH CLUB (P&I) FINNISH TRANSPORT SAFFTY AGENCY (MARINE) K LINE LNG (MARINE) **TEEKAY (MARINE) GASLOG (MARINE)** EASYJET(AIR) AENA (AIRPORT-OPERATOR) SASEMAR (MARINE) STASA (AIR) HILL ROBINSON INTERNATIONAL INC (MARINE) HELLENIC TANKERS (MARINE) **ABS (MARINEMARINE)**




Interreg IVA 2 Seas project





- Sapeurs-pompiers du Pas-de-Calais

MIRG EL



Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

Background

The Channel, the North Sea and the River Scheldt are maritime busy areas.

- 400 vessels per day
- A quarter of the world's maritime traffic
- 276 million tons of hazmats
- Huge cross-Channel traffic : Calais is the largest passenger port in EU









Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

www.sdis62.

Accidents at sea

The traffic is dealed safely by MRCCs

But recent accidents show that things can go wrong with huge consequences

Predictable impacts are huge : human, environmental and economical

In most countries fire fighters are trained to deal with fire on board of vessels on ports













Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

From the sea to the land

A vessel with an incident on board will have to reach a port

In ports, the fire services will have to handover with maritime and port authorities

Anticipation is a main topic and firefighters need to be trained to assess the situations and have a first response





Incident Respons Groups







Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

Collaboration in a common project

MIRG EU is an Interreg IVA 2 seas Project leaded by the Safety Region of Zeeland in Netherlands

Four partners collaborate in the project :

- Province of Zeeland (NL)
- Fire Brigades of Antwerp, Beveren and Ghent (BE)
- Kent Fire and Rescue Service (UK)
- Pas de Calais Fire and Rescue Service (FR)









DU PAS-DE-CALAIS



Maritime Incident Response Groups



- Sapeurs-pompiers du Pas-de-Calais



w.sdis67

MIRG EU

Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

Different areas for a common project

- Maritime safety on the Westerscheldt for the Province of Zeeland
- International ports for Belgium partners
- Huge traffic in the Channel for UK and FR













www.sdis62.

MIRG EU

Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

Three steps

- 1st : risk analysis of the maritime region
- 2nd : Work together :
 - Writing of an Operations Manual
 - Writing of a Training manual
 - Training of Fire fighters
- 3rd : Performing a maritime common exercise





RISK ANALYSIS

August 2013







Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 - 2014

- 2012 : risk analysis, numerous topics and maritime issues raised
 - Type and size of the ships
 - Cargo and hazmats leakages
 - Number of passengers
 - Kind of damage
 - Weather
 - ...



iource: Maritime Accident Review 2010



Maritime Incident Response Groups







Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 - 2014

- 2013:
 - Operations Manual
 - Training Manual
 - Team building
 - Definition of shared specifications to buy common equipment











Maritime Incident Response Groups







Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 - 2014

- 2013 Operations Manual
 - Shared procedures compatible with national regulations
 - 6 SOPs :
 - SOP1 : Tasking to Incidents at Sea
 - SOP2 : Role of the Fire Liaison Officer
 - SOP3 : Deployment and Transportation
 - **SOP4** : Nominal Roll Procedures
 - SOP5 : Communications
 - **SOP6** : Incident Command System



OPERATIONS POLICY MANUAL

July 2013







- Sapeurs-pompiers du Pas-de-Calais





Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 - 2014

- 2013 Team building and practical training
 - More than 150 firefighters trained
 - Train the trainers
 - Firefighting on board of vessels
 - Sea survival
 - Helicopter operations









Maritime Incident Response Groups







Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 - 2014

- 2014 : 18 June : Large Scale Exercise
 - Exercise scenario :
 - Fire on board of a passengers vessel off ljmuiden (NL)
 - Common response from the partners

















Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 - 2014

- 2014 : Final Conference

During the Final Conference held in Vlissingen in Sept 2014 a summary of a 3 years cooperation was done.

Results was very positive

The MIRG EU partners expressed to continue the work and to see if a registration could be possible within the EU Civil Mechanism





Maritime Incident Response

Groups



MIRG EI



Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

Post MIRG EU Project



Within a funding of the EU Civil Protection Mechanism, a new project is launched

Additional partners join this new project :

- Federal Organisation of Public Assistance of West-Flanders (BE)
- Zeebrugge Port Authority









Maritime Incident Respons Groups





Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

Post MIRG EU : MIRG EX

- Aim of MIRG EX

Three exercises was done during the new project :

- Cooperation and communication lines between the EU, national and regional authorities
- Command post exercise : an international table top exercice to prepare the Full Scale Exercise
- Full Scale Exercise with the involvment of 4 countries to deal with a huge incident at sea in front of the Port of Zeebrugge





Maritime Incident Respons Groups



- Sapeurs-pompiers du Pas-de-Calais



Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

w.sdis62.

MIRG EX : Full Scale Exercise

- 2016, 11 October

A fire is discovered on board a cruise ferry navigating the North Sea close to the BE coast

Passengers are evacuated to a safe part and MIRG BE is called in for assistance

MIRG teams from NL, UK and FR are appointed to support and help the BE team



MIRG EU 🚜

Maritime Incident Response Groups





Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

w.sdis62.

MIRG EX : Full Scale Exercise

- 2016, 11 October

The incident is first dealed in the vessel anchored in front of Zeebrugge

After a first response at sea, handover is done with port authority and then with the local response services





MIRG EU 🗳

Maritime Incident Respons Groups





www.sdis62.

MIRG EU

Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 to 2016 : MIRG EU to EX : feedback

- Maritime incidents are often difficult to deal with by the competent authorities alone : a shared response is often necessary
- Even if specialized companies are appointed in a maritime incident, the vessel must reach a port: the handover is necessary with fire and rescue services
- The preparation of services is necessary and major issues was raised during the 2 projects : projection, deployment, interaction, handover
- International agreements exist on the area : national teams may have to work with teams from other countries : planification and preparation is necessary







- Sapeurs-pompiers du Pas-de-Calais



www.sdis62.

MIRG EU

Interreg IVA 2 Seas project

2012 to 2016 : MIRG EU to EX : feedback

- The work carried out has similarities with other initiatives in Europe : MIRG Baltic for example
- The work done has also been beneficial at national level : the elaborated procedures have influenced national developments
- A EU expert network in maritime incidents exist now by the two projects. In the event of a major incident this network would be able to provide advice





MIRG E

Incident



Interreg IVA 2 Seas project





- Sapeurs-pompiers du Pas-de-Calais

MIRG EU

Mantime



Maritime cooperation post 2020

Day 2 – Input by the Knowledge of the seas network to the discussions





Structure of Day 2

A. Maritime cooperation post 2020:



- 1. Interact's activities with regard to post 2020
- 2. Findings from interviews with 11 maritime Interreg programmes
- 3. Reflections from other processes/actors (CPMR/Interreg Europe PASSAGE project)
- 4. Discussion of main findings and if and how to use them

B. Activities of the Knowledge of the seas network in 2018



Your key issues for discussion (expectations)

Maritime issues and borders: What specific approach? What type of funding? How addressed and included in EU policies/priorities post 2020 and the role of the regions; Relation between SBS and Interreg programmes

Cooperation (projects): Best practices? Cost of no cooperation (relevance of maritime cooperation in Interreg); Opportunity to build cross-border cooperation projects and find funding; Optimal number of project partners? Topics:

-

Maritime tourism to boost the regional economic activity; Climate change mitigation and impacts of climate change; EU policy relating to maritime transport; cooperation between small ports; How to balance MSS, economical and environmental interests of different stakeholders; Marine environment; LNG; wind energy



Maritime cooperation post 2020 The added value of maritime cooperation





Interact's activities related to post 2020

So far... and upcoming for <u>cross-border cooperation</u>

- In 2016-2017, 4x zone CBC network meetings with session on post 2020 and EC presenting Cross Border Review resulting in a (summarised and edited) short input non paper presenting outcomes of the discussions to EC (May 2017)
- In 2017-2018, set up of a CBC Task Force on post 2020 issues with Member States and EC ... meetings planned between TF and CBC programmes leading to paper on implementation settings post 2020



Interact's activities related to post 2020

So far... and upcoming for transnational cooperation

- In 2016-2017, set up of a TN Working Group on post 2020 issues with Member States and EC and 4x meetings of TN programmes and Member States resulting in a long and a short version of an input paper presenting outcomes of the discussions to EC (April 2017)
- In 2017-2018, 3 informal meetings with Programme Heads on post 2020 issues and event planned with relevant stakeholders/partners to communicate added value of TN cooperation ... leading to paper on implementation settings post 2020



Interact's activities related to post 2020

So far... and upcoming for interregional cooperation

- In 2016-2017, 4x meetings between interregional programmes resulting in leaflet/documents informing policy makers at EU level about benefits and added value of interregional programmes in 2014-2020
- In 2017-2018, meetings between interregional programmes discussing i.a. their added value post 2020 and promotional event planned with relevant stakeholders/partners (2018)

Documents available at Interact online library www.interact-eu.net/library?field_fields_of_expertise_tid=78



... and for maritime cooperation

Informal exchange with <u>maritime</u> Interreg programmes

- Collecting experiences, thoughts and ideas from the the operational level (MA/JS) during August- September 2017
- = Talking to 11 Interreg programmes from four sea basins (Atlantic, Baltic, Mediterraean, North Sea)
- 5 leading questions:

Why maritime cooperation (programmes)?

Unique selling point?

Added value of combining Interreg and maritime cooperation? How to make maritime cooperation more effective and easier? Best practices examples/projects?



... maritime cooperation brings added value and should definitely have a future post 2020!



Main findings from informal exchange

Three main aspects

- Why maritime cooperation? Added value?
- Specificity of maritime cooperation?
- Improvements for post 2020?
- And ... best practice projects



Colette MARIE, Cooperation officer Pas-de-Calais County Council (France) marie.colette@pasdecalais.fr





European Union European Regional Development Fund

PASSAGE project inputs

22/11/2017 | Interact "Knowledge of the seas" event - Porto

Why PASSAGE project?







Need for efficient public action

Why PASSAGE project?





Objective

Increase consideration for low-carbon transition challenge within 5 maritime border regions

- Enhance capacity of local authorities to tackle this challenge at cross-border level
- Enhance capacity of Interreg A programmes to support this transition

Why PASSAGE project?

PASSAGE Interreg Europe



2016-2020

- > 11 partners
- 5 maritime borders

Stakeholders involved on all borders

- Universities
- Public authorities
- Private entities



PASSAGE project

Figures not definitive (study in progress)











Emissions of Strait of Corfu







Concrete example as proof for the added-value of maritime cooperation within Interreg!

700

600

500

300

200

100

ktCO2e 400

ktCO2e

Emissions of Fehmarn Belt

PASSAGE project





Emissions from maritime transport don't "belong" to anyone but are a significant contribution to the carbon footprint of maritime border regions

- ✓ No direct possibility of action for local authorities onbinternational flows passing in-between the two shores
- Contradiction between need to increase transport connection between the two shores (territorial continuity) and need to reduce emissions linked to transport
- > National/local funding targeted on territorial emissions
- Without cooperation supported by Interreg and, more globally, by the EU, no concrete action will happen!
5 questions





- Why maritime cooperation? Do we need maritime programmes post-2020?
- Unique selling points of maritime cooperation programmes and projects?
- What can be achieved only by combining Interreg and maritime cooperation?
- Concrete examples and best practices as proofs for the added-value of maritime cooperation within Interreg?
- How to make maritime cooperation more effective and easier?

Inputs from PASSAGE partnership

Why maritime cooperation? Do we need maritime programmes post-2020?



- Maritime borders are borders! The need for cooperation across borders has been many times demonstrated and is no longer questioned: why should it be questioned for maritime borders specifically?
- Maritime borders combine the obstacles of border regions and the opportunities of maritime regions. They have a specific potential for development (blue growth) that shouldn't be prevented because of border obstacles.
- Maritime borders face a very important mental obstacle due to the « distance » of the sea. If a specific support is needed somewhere in Europe to make sure cooperation happens, it should definitely be on maritime borders!

Unique selling points of maritime cooperation programmes and projects?





- Crossing a maritime border remains a challenge that is specific to maritime borders
 - No territorial continuity
 - Crossing mainly relies on private operators (ferry companies, Eurotunnel...)
 - Crossing is subject to availability of the market (ex: seasonality between Corsica and Italy)
 - Crossing the border is <u>never</u> free: you have to pay to meet people across the border and to work together.

What is the public approach to the border? What support from the EU to territorial continuity?

Unique selling points of maritime cooperation programmes and projects?







What can be achieved only by combining **PASSAGE** Interreg and maritime cooperation?

- Maritime cooperation would exist without Interreg because it is a territorial need. There was cooperation before Interreg and there would still be if it were to disappear...
- Interreg is not the Holy Grail of cooperation in maritime border regions, it needs to be combined with other tools (for e.g. political structuration, cooperation agreements...).

But...

What can be achieved only by combining PASSAGE Interreg and maritime cooperation?

There would not be the same level of cooperation on maritime borders without Interreg support (tight public budgets: cooperation is not seen as a priority)

> Issues where cooperation is absolutely required:

- Maritime safety
- Transport connection
- Ports ("coopetition")
- Environmental issues such as pollution of water, air, risk management...
- Management of resources

```
■ .
```

How to make maritime cooperation more PASSAGE Interreg European Union European Regional Development Fund

- Increase support for participation of civil society in cooperation:
 - Bottom-up integration of maritime border regions
 - Possibility for public-private-people partnerships and small-scale projects involving inhabitants (people-topeople projects)
 - (Maritime) borders as laboratories for the construction of a European citizenship
 - Come back to the initial definition of territorial cohesion: a mutual understanding of people in their diversity and a mitigation of spatial and social disparities.

How to make maritime cooperation more PASSAGE effective and easier?

- Develop a territorial approach, not a technical approach:
 - Territorial initiatives with a leverage effect
 - Impact all public policies
 - Enable to link funding priorities within copperation programmes to enhance transversal dimension of projects

> Reaffirm subsidiarity principle:

- Local, intermediate and regional authorities are well aware of the specific issues faced by their territory
- Reinforce trust between the EU and local, intermediate and regional authorities in the management of Interreg programmes

How to make maritime cooperation more PASSACE Interreg Europe effective and easier?

- Develop an approach to cooperation specific to maritime border regions:
 - Land and maritime regions do not share all the same issues
 - Tend to the development of a "European maritime cooperation" model in parallel to the "European territorial cooperation" model?
 - The geography of the support schemes/programmes should be based on the relevance of cross-border maritime basins, not necessarily on irrelevant territorial divisions.

How to make maritime cooperation more PASSACE Interreg European Union European Regional Development Fund

> Enable cooperation with third countries:

- All countries sharing the same sea basin are jointly responsible for many issues
- Any dedicated EU funding to cooperation should include the possibility for stakeholders from these countries to take part to cooperation.
- Non-EU countries such as Russia, candidate countries such as Albania, countries that may leave the EU such as the UK
- Do not limit cooperation in maritime border regions to maritime-related topics only

How to make maritime cooperation more PASSAGE Interreg Europe effective and easier?

- Develop the transfer of knowledge between regions facing similar issues:
 - Save time and money
 - Examples: PASSAGE project, NOSTRA (Network Of STRAits) project

PASSAGE demonstrates the need for maritime cooperation across Europe (not only cross-border) because issues are similar in the different maritime border regions despite local specificities





European Union European Regional Development Fund

Thank you!

www.interregeurope.eu/passage





Discussion of main findings (steps 1-3)





Discussion of main findings (steps 4-6)





Network activities in 2018





Promotion activities in 2018



European Maritime Day 2018

Joint stand and/or Workshop (deadline 30 Nov, first idea sent 14 Nov)

Other event

E.g. EWRC, or ...?



Learning activities in 2018

Thematic event

Which topic would you be interested in? Possible crossnetwork meeting (e.g. with transport)

Learning and promoting

Promotional paper, study etc. – collect first ideas





New online platform – Please register:

https://apps.interact-eu.net/interact/main.nsf/registration.xsp





Cooperation works

All materials will be available on:

www.interact-eu.net





European Regional Development Fund